

# Lecture 16

Gidon Rosalki

2025-12-14

## 1 Markov models

We can model nucleotide transitions using a Markov Chain. We will define the transition matrix of transition probabilities at the time  $t$ :

$$[\mathbb{P}[t]] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}_t[A \rightarrow A] & \mathbb{P}_t[A \rightarrow C] & \mathbb{P}_t[A \rightarrow G] & \mathbb{P}_t[A \rightarrow T] \\ \mathbb{P}_t[C \rightarrow A] & \mathbb{P}_t[C \rightarrow C] & \mathbb{P}_t[C \rightarrow G] & \mathbb{P}_t[C \rightarrow T] \\ \mathbb{P}_t[G \rightarrow A] & \mathbb{P}_t[G \rightarrow C] & \mathbb{P}_t[G \rightarrow G] & \mathbb{P}_t[G \rightarrow T] \\ \mathbb{P}_t[T \rightarrow A] & \mathbb{P}_t[T \rightarrow C] & \mathbb{P}_t[T \rightarrow G] & \mathbb{P}_t[T \rightarrow T] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}_t & A & C & G & T \\ A & & & & \\ C & & & & \\ G & & & & \\ T & & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$\forall_{a,b} \mathbb{P}_t[a \rightarrow b] \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\forall_a \sum_b \mathbb{P}_t(a \rightarrow b) = 1 \quad (3)$$

Additionally, from the Markov property:

$$\mathbb{P}[a \rightarrow b] = \sum_c (\mathbb{P}[a \rightarrow c] \cdot \mathbb{P}[c \rightarrow b])$$

Here we transition from  $a$  to  $c$  at time  $t_1$ , and from  $c$  to  $b$  at time  $t_2$ . We will also note that

$$\mathbb{P}[0] = I \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[t_1 + t_2] = \mathbb{P}[t_1] \cdot \mathbb{P}[t_2] \quad (5)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{P}[2t_1] = \mathbb{P}[t_1]^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{P}[nt_1] = \mathbb{P}[t_1]^n \quad (7)$$

So given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for all  $t$ , we may compute  $n$  such that  $t = n\varepsilon$ :

$$\mathbb{P}[t] = \mathbb{P}[\varepsilon]^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} = \mathbb{P}[\varepsilon]^n$$

For every time  $t$ , we can compute the transition matrix  $\mathbb{P}[t]$ , which is to say, the probability that some letter will transition to another letter. All that is left to do is evaluate the matrix. This leaves us with 3 problems:

1. How?
2. Exponentiating matrices is expensive
3. We have found a solution for  $\mathbb{N}$ , not  $\mathbb{R}$

Firstly, recall how to differentiate from infi:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{P}[t]}{dt} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[t+h] - \mathbb{P}[t]}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[t] \cdot \mathbb{P}[h] - \mathbb{P}[t]}{h} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[t] \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[h] - I}{h} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[t] \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[0+h] - \mathbb{P}[0]}{h} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[t] \frac{d\mathbb{P}[0]}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

Which is to say, we have got a simple differential equation:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}[t]}{dt} = \mathbb{P}[t] \cdot \frac{d\mathbb{P}[0]}{dt} = \mathbb{P}[t] R$$

Where  $R$  is a rate matrix.

So,

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}[t]}{dt} = \mathbb{P}[t] R \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[0] = I \quad (9)$$

Which looks remarkably like how one differentiates the exponential:

$$f(0) = 1 \quad (10)$$

$$f'(x) = af(x) \quad (11)$$

Where  $f$  is  $e^{ax}$ . So, if we can compute  $R = \frac{d\mathbb{P}[0]}{dt}$ , then we can compute  $\mathbb{P}[a \rightarrow b]$  at any time  $t$ .

We will note that this computation is  $\mathbb{P}[t] = e^{tR}$ . What does it mean to raise  $e$  to the power of a matrix? Well, 3blue1brown did a great [video](#) about this. Essentially, if we do Taylor series:  $e^X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^n}{n!}$ . Then we resolve the issue, since in our case it is simply that  $X = (tR)$ .

So,  $R = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[h] - I}{h}$ . Therefore, the first approximation is  $R \approx I + hR$ . This gives us a first approximation where

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } i = j \\ > 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$\forall i \sum_j R_{i,j} = 0 \quad (13)$$

## 1.1 Jukes Cantor

In 1969, Jukes and Cantor created a simple approximation symmetric matrix:

$$R_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -3\alpha, & \text{if } i = j \\ \alpha, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and the transition matrices:

$$\mathbb{P}[t]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(1 + 3e^{-4\alpha t}), & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{1}{4}(1 - e^{-4\alpha t}), & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

## 1.2 Kimura

In 1980, Kimura created something similar, but with differentiation between purines ( $A \Leftrightarrow G$ ) and pyrimidine ( $C \Leftrightarrow T$ ). We will note that transitions  $\alpha >$  transversions  $\beta$ .

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} & A & C & G & T \\ A & -2\beta - \alpha & \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ C & \beta & -2\beta - \alpha & \beta & \alpha \\ G & \alpha & \beta & -2\beta - \alpha & \beta \\ T & \beta & \alpha & \beta & -2\beta - \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

So the transition matrix at time  $t$ :

$$\mathbb{P}[t]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 - 2s - u, & \text{if } i = j \\ u = \frac{1}{4}(1 + e^{-4\beta t} - 2e^{-2(\alpha+\beta)t}), & \text{if transition} \\ s = \frac{1}{4}(1 + e^{-4\beta t}), & \text{if transversion} \end{cases}$$

So, given a rate matrix, we may compute the probability of transition between every letter, for every possible time  $t$ . This is in other words, a simple model for evolution of sequences.